Numerical Study of New Dark Force of Dark Matter (JHEP11(2023)105)

Presented by: Ami Patel Supervised by: Dr. Ayuki Kamada

6th Young Astronomers Meeting 8 March 2024

FACULTY OF PHYSICS

OF WARSAW

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Thank you

Table of Contents

Introduction

- Particle Dark Matter
- Dark Matter Detection
- New Dark Force: An introduction
- Theoretical Background and approaches
- Results
 - Conclusion
- S Extra

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

• Particle Dark Matter

• WIMPs • WIMPs: Relic Abundance

- De Lassettes Lateration
- Dark matter detection

2 New Dark Force: An introduction

3 Theoretical Background and approaches

4 Results

Particle Dark Matter

- CMB gives significant evidence for particle dark matter via the composition of universe.
- According to the Planck data [1] of CMB radiation, our universe contains 26.8% of nonbaryonic dark matter.
- Candidates: WIMPs, Axions, Asymmetric dark matter and others.



Figure 1: Energy density of universe. Image credit: ESA/Planck

Galle	VANA.	()
oth	TAIVI	0

Particle Dark Matter

WIMPs

WIMPs:

- Their mass are believe to be in range of some GeV to 10 TeV.
- Relic abundance:

Relic abundance:

 $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle$: thermally averaged annihilation cross section σ_A multiplied with relative velocity v and h is the dimensionless Hubble parameter.

$$\Omega h^2 \simeq 0.1 \times \left(\frac{\langle \sigma_{\mathsf{A}} v \rangle}{3 \times 10^{-26} cm^3 s^{-1}}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (1)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ○○

WIMPs



Particle Dark Matter

DQC

Dark matter detection

Dark matter detection:

Three methods: direct detection, indirect detection and at accelerators.

- Direct detection: observation of dark matter elastic scattering with nuclei.
- Indirect detection: detect SM particles produced via annihilation or decay of dark matter.
- Accelerator: At colliders [2], dark matter particles are simply referred to as missing energy. The detection of the visible counterpart of the signal, such as a jet or charged leptons, is the foundation of collider searches for dark matter.

イロト (過) (ヨト (ヨト) ヨヨ くのく

Dark matter detection

Dark Matter excess through PAMELA



Figure 2: PAMELA positron fraction with theoretical models[3]

6th YAM ()

三日 のへで

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト

Dark matter detection

Dark Matter excess through ATIC



Figure 3: Energy spectrum of $(e^+ + e^-)$ obtained by ATIC[4]. The dashed line shows the background level expectation, solid line is a sum of a background and DM signal contribution.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Table of Contents

• Particle Dark Matter WIMPs • WIMPs: Relic Abundance

- Dark matter detection

2 New Dark Force: An introduction

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ○○

New Dark Force

Why New dark force?

If dark matter annihilates into standard model states, cosmic ray detectors may be able to detect it.

- According to PAMELA [5, 6] and ATIC [4], there are more $e^+ e^-$ at energies between 10 and 100 GeV.
- one explanation for this is the annihilation of dark matter into e^+e^- .

★ Analysis of the PAMELA and ATIC signals demonstrates that the cross section required for the explanation of the e^+e^- excess is larger than thermal relic abundance of WIMP.

Relic abundance:

$$\Omega h^2 \simeq 0.1 \times \left(\frac{\langle \sigma_{\mathsf{A}} v \rangle}{3 \times 10^{-26} cm^3 s^{-1}}\right)^{-1}.$$
(3)

ショック 正則 スポット ポリット 白マ

Why New dark force?

Models that try to fit the observed PAMELA spectrum with positrons from DM annihilation usually consider boost factors of $10^2 - 10^5$ in order to match the strength of the observed excess with the annihilation rate [5, 6].



Figure 4: Values of $B_e \cdot \sigma v$ (right axis) and of the boost factor B_e (left axis, for the standard $\sigma \nu = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$) suggested by PAMELA excess[7].

Why New dark force?

To fit the observed ATIC spectrum with $e^- - e^+$ from KK dark matter annihilation, ATIC collaborator consider boost factor of ~ 200 .



Figure 5: Assuming an annihilation of Kaluza-Klein dark matter with mass 620 GeV[4].

What is New dark force?

New dark force

if dark matter χ , annihilates via multiple exchange of new dark force ϕ , in the presence of potential which enhance annihilation cross section so called Sommerfeld enhancement, and this mediator particle then decay in standard model particles [8].



Figure 6: Annihilation diagram of $\chi\chi \rightarrow \phi\phi$. Annihilation process in the presence of Sommerfeld enhancement This diagram has been taken from article [8].

Properties of New dark force

- Mass sub-GeV scale: mass of the force carrier less than twice the pion mass;
- Some of these particles might be kinematically stable without couplings to the standard model.

シック 正則 エル・エット (四)・エート

SIDM: New Force

Self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) [9, 10, 11], which Spergel and Steinhardt proposed as a solution to the problems of the core cusp and missing satellites problems. \bigstar In order to explain these astrophysical observations, the dark matter self scattering cross section must be:



(4)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



Figure 7: Self scattering of dark matter via exchange of mediator particle. Adapted from an article [8]

Table of Contents

Introduction

Particle Dark Matter WIMPs WIMPs

- WIMPs: Relic Abundance
- Dark matter detection

2 New Dark Force: An introduction

Theoretical Background and approaches

4 Results

シック 正則 エル・エット (四)・エート

The Sommerfeld enhancement

If DM annihilated in the ϕ through a contact interaction. The probability of finding the DM particles at the origin in this instance is simply $|\psi_k^{(0)}(0)|^2$, and if it annihilates via exchange of new force, so the probability of finding them $|\psi_k(0)|^2$.



Figure 8: Annihilation of DM particles in ϕ particles. Taken from an article [8]

The Sommerfeld enhancement

quantitatively, the Sommerfeld enhancement factor,

Sommerfeld enhancement factor:

$$S_k = rac{(\sigma_A v)}{(\sigma_A^0 v)} = rac{|\psi_k(0)|^2}{|\psi_k^{(0)}(0)|^2}.$$

(5)

 $\psi_k^{(0)}$ is the bare wavefunction, and $\psi_k(0)$ is the wavefunction under the effect of potential.

In order to have Sommerfeld enhancement factor and self scattering cross section one have to solve quantum scattering theory.

Consider a free particle moving in a z direction, described by $\psi = e^{ikz}$, and scattered particle described by $f(\theta)e^{ikr}/r$. Thus the asymptotic form,

$$\psi_k \to e^{ikz} + \frac{f(\theta)e^{ikr}}{r} \text{ for } r \to \infty.$$
 (6)

any solution to the Schr ödinger equation with rotation invariance around the z axis can be expanded as follows:

$$\psi_{k} = \sum_{\ell} A_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) R_{k\ell}(r)$$
(7)

here $R_{k\ell}(r)$ are the continuum radial functions associated with angular momentum ℓ which satisfy radial wave Schrödinger equation.

Radial wave Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR_{k\ell}}{dr}\right) + \left(k^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - 2\mu V(r)\right)R_{k\ell} = 0,\tag{8}$$

where $\mu = m_\chi/2$ is a reduced mass and $k = \mu v$ is a momentum. And the asymptotic form of $R_{k,\ell}$ is,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} R_{k\ell} = \frac{\sin(kr - \frac{1}{2}\ell\pi + \delta_{\ell})}{r}.$$
(9)

Solving the ratio of the radial wave function close to the origin with and without potential is necessary to determine the **Sommerfeld enhancement factor** for partial wave annihilation

$$S_{k\ell} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{|R_{k\ell}(r)|^2}{|R_{k\ell}^{(0)}(r)|^2}.$$
 (10)

シック 正則 エヨッエヨッエロッ

Sommerfeld enhancement factor

Sommerfeld enhancement factor for partial wave annihilation

$$S_{k\ell} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{|R_{k\ell}(r)|^2}{|R_{k\ell}^{(0)}(r)|^2}.$$
(11)

the behaviour of the solution R close to the origin for a potential in which:

$$\lim_{r \to 0} (V(r)r^2) = 0, \tag{12}$$

in the absence of potential $R_{k\ell}^{(0)}$ and in the presence of potential $R_{k\ell}$ is:

$$R_{k\ell}^{(0)} \approx \frac{k^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!} r^{\ell}, \text{ and } R_{k\ell} \approx \mathscr{B} \times \frac{k^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!} r^{\ell}.$$
 (13)

 \mathscr{B} is a Constant.

Self scattering cross section:

$$\psi_{k} = \sum_{\ell} A_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) R_{k\ell}(r)$$
(14)

general asymptotic form of ψ_k :

$$\psi_k pprox \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (2\ell+1) {\sf A}_\ell {\sf P}_\ell(\cos heta) rac{\sin(kr-rac{1}{2}\ell\pi+\delta_\ell)}{kr}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) A_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) \frac{i}{2kr} \{ \exp[-i(kr - \frac{1}{2}\ell\pi + \delta_{\ell})] - \exp[i(kr - \frac{1}{2}\ell\pi + \delta_{\ell})] \}.$$
(15)

and the asymptotic form of e^{ikz} written as,

$$e^{ikz} \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} i^{\ell} (2\ell+1) P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) \frac{i}{2kr} \{ \exp[-i(kr-\frac{1}{2}\ell\pi)] - \exp[i(kr-\frac{1}{2}\ell\pi)] \}.$$
(16)

Self scattering cross section:

 $\psi_k - e^{ikz}$ represent an outgoing wave

$$\therefore f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) [e^{2i\delta_{\ell}} - 1] P_{\ell}(\cos\theta).$$
(17)

self scattering cross section,

$$\sigma = 2\pi \int_0^\pi |f(\theta)|^2 \sin\theta d\theta.$$
(18)

with Legendre polynomials, $\int_0^{\pi} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) P_{\ell'}(\cos\theta) \sin\theta d\theta = \frac{2}{(2\ell+1)} \delta_{\ell\ell'}$.

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} (2\ell + 1) \sin^2 \delta_\ell.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Table of Contents

Introduction

Particle Dark Matter WIMPs WIMPs: Relic Abundance

- WIMPs: Relic Abundance
- Dark matter detection

2 New Dark Force: An introduction

3 Theoretical Background and approaches



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Parameter space

$$\Lambda_{\rm Y}(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{\chi}}{r} \, {\rm e}^{-m_{\phi}r} \tag{20}$$

 m_{ϕ} : mass of the new force or a mediator particle, and α_{χ} : coupling constant or fine structure constant of dark matter.

In the Yukawa potential, the term $1/m_{\phi}$ is a range of the Yukawa potential, and if we set $1/m_{\phi} = 0$, the potential coincides with the Coulomb potential. Our numerical computations have two parameters *a* and *b*:

$$a = \frac{v}{2\alpha_{\chi}},$$
$$b = \frac{\alpha_{\chi} m_{\chi}}{m_{\phi}}$$

Here a represents an approximate velocity, while b represents an approximate fraction of the dark matter mass and the mediator mass or the range of potential.

Numerically solved Sommerfeld enhancement factor and self-scattering cross section as a function of b for different values of a in the presence of Yukawa potential

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

Sommerfeld enhancement factor and Self-scattering cross section as a function of b



Figure 9: Self-scattering cross section σ (in unit of m_{ϕ}) and the Sommerfeld enhancement factor S for s-wave processes in the Yukawa potential as a function of b.

Unsolved questions

I believe there are still unsolved questions in this research:

- Why we observed exact same positions of resonances for Sommerfeld enhancement factor and Self scattering cross section? (JHEP11(2023)105)
- Why we observed weird behaviour for first resonance and anti-resonance in scattering cross section as a function of *a* plot for *d*-wave (current work)?

Thank you

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

References I

[1] and N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, M. Ballardini, A. J. Banday, R. B. Barreiro, N. Bartolo, S. Basak, R. Battye, K. Benabed, J.-P. Bernard, M. Bersanelli, P. Bielewicz, J. J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, F. R. Bouchet, F. Boulanger, M. Bucher, C. Burigana, R. C. Butler, E. Calabrese, J.-F. Cardoso, J. Carron, A. Challinor, H. C. Chiang, J. Chluba, L. P. L. Colombo, C. Combet, D. Contreras, B. P. Crill, F. Cuttaia, P. de Bernardis, G. de Zotti, J. Delabrouille, J.-M. Delouis, E. Di Valentino, J. M. Diego, O. Doré, M. Douspis, A. Ducout, X. Dupac, S. Dusini, G. Efstathiou, F. Elsner, T. A. Enßlin, H. K. Eriksen, Y. Fantaye, M. Farhang, J. Fergusson, R. Fernandez-Cobos, F. Finelli, F. Forastieri, M. Frailis, A. A. Fraisse, E. Franceschi, A. Frolov, S. Galeotta, S. Galli, K. Ganga, R. T. Génova-Santos, M. Gerbino, T. Ghosh, J. González-Nuevo, K. M. Górski, S. Gratton, A. Gruppuso, J. E. Gudmundsson, J. Hamann, W. Handley, F. K. Hansen, D. Herranz, S. R. Hildebrandt, E. Hivon, Z. Huang, A. H. Jaffe, W. C. Jones, A. Karakci, E. Keihänen, R. Keskitalo, K. Kiiveri, J. Kim, T. S. Kisner, L. Knox, N. Krachmalnicoff, M. Kunz, H. Kurki-Suonio, G. Lagache, J.-M. Lamarre, A. Lasenby, M. Lattanzi, C. R. Lawrence, M. Le Jeune, P. Lemos, J. Lesgourgues, F. Levrier, A. Lewis, M. Liguori, P. B. Lilje, M. Lilley, V. Lindholm, M. López-Caniego, P. M. Lubin, Y.-Z. Ma, J. F. Macías-Pérez, G. Maggio, D. Maino, N. Mandolesi, A. Mangilli, A. Marcos-Caballero, M. Maris, P. G. Martin,

References II

M. Martinelli, E. Martínez-González, S. Matarrese, N. Mauri, J. D. McEwen, P. R. Meinhold, A. Melchiorri, A. Mennella, M. Migliaccio, M. Millea, S. Mitra, M.-A. Miville-Deschênes, D. Molinari, L. Montier, G. Morgante, A. Moss, P. Natoli, H. U. Nørgaard-Nielsen, L. Pagano, D. Paoletti, B. Partridge, G. Patanchon, H. V. Peiris, F. Perrotta, V. Pettorino, F. Piacentini, L. Polastri, G. Polenta, J.-L. Puget, J. P. Rachen, M. Reinecke, M. Remazeilles, A. Renzi, G. Rocha, C. Rosset, G. Roudier, J. A. Rubiño-Martín, B. Ruiz-Granados, L. Salvati, M. Sandri, M. Savelainen, D. Scott, E. P. S. Shellard, C. Sirignano, G. Sirri, L. D. Spencer, R. Sunyaev, A.-S. Suur-Uski, J. A. Tauber, D. Tavagnacco, M. Tenti, L. Toffolatti, M. Tomasi, T. Trombetti, L. Valenziano, J. Valiviita, B. Van Tent, L. Vibert, P. Vielva, F. Villa, N. Vittorio, B. D. Wandelt, I. K. Wehus, M. White, S. D. M. White, A. Zacchei, and A. Zonca. iplanck/i2018 results. Astronomy & amp Astrophysics, 641:A6, sep 2020.

[2] Luca Barze, Giovanni Balossini, Christopher Bignamini, C. Calame, G. Montagna, Oreste Nicrosini, and F. Piccinini. Probing dark forces at gev-scale colliders. *Acta Physica Polonica Series B*, 42:2461–2467, 11 2011.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

References III

- [3] O. Adriani, G. C. Barbarino, G. A. Bazilevskaya, R. Bellotti, M. Boezio, E. A. Bogomolov, L. Bonechi, M. Bongi, V. Bonvicini, S. Bottai, and et al. An anomalous positron abundance in cosmic rays with energies 1.5–100gev. *Nature*, 458(7238):607–609, Apr 2009.
- [4] J. Chang, J. H. Adams, H. S. Ahn, G. L. Bashindzhagyan, M. Christl, O. Ganel, T. G. Guzik, J. Isbert, K. C. Kim, E. N. Kuznetsov, M. I. Panasyuk, A. D. Panov, W. K. H. Schmidt, E. S. Seo, N. V. Sokolskaya, J. W. Watts, J. P. Wefel, J. Wu, and V. I. Zatsepin. An excess of cosmic ray electrons at energies of 300-800GeV. , 456(7220):362–365, November 2008.
- [5] Ilias Cholis, Douglas P Finkbeiner, Lisa Goodenough, and Neal Weiner. The pamela positron excess from annihilations into a light boson. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2009(12):007–007, Dec 2009.
- [6] Ilias Cholis, Lisa Goodenough, Dan Hooper, Melanie Simet, and Neal Weiner. High energy positrons from annihilating dark matter. *Physical Review D*, 80(12), Dec 2009.
- [7] Marco Cirelli, Mario Kadastik, Martti Raidal, and Alessandro Strumia. Model-independent implications of the e+-, anti-proton cosmic ray spectra on properties of Dark Matter. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 813:1–21, 2009. [Addendum: Nucl.Phys.B 873, 530–533 (2013)].

References IV

- [8] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Douglas P. Finkbeiner, Tracy R. Slatyer, and Neal Weiner. A theory of dark matter. *Physical Review D*, 79(1), jan 2009.
- [9] Sean Tulin and Hai-Bo Yu. Dark matter self-interactions and small scale structure. *Physics Reports*, 730:1–57, feb 2018.
- [10] Sean Tulin, Hai-Bo Yu, and Kathryn M. Zurek. Beyond Collisionless Dark Matter: Particle Physics Dynamics for Dark Matter Halo Structure. *Phys. Rev. D*, 87(11):115007, 2013.
- [11] David N. Spergel and Paul J. Steinhardt. Observational evidence for self-interacting cold dark matter. *Physical Review Letters*, 84(17):3760–3763, apr 2000.
- [12] S. Cassel. Sommerfeld factor for arbitrary partial wave processes. J. Phys. G, 37:105009, 2010.
- [13] A. Sommerfeld. Über die beugung und bremsung der elektronen. Annalen der Physik, 403(3):257–330, 1931.
- [14] Lev Davidovich Landau and E. M. Lifshits. *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, volume v.3 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1991.
- [15] Dan Hooper and Kathryn M. Zurek. Pamela and atic signals from kaluza-klein dark matter. *Physical Review D*, 79(10), May 2009.

References V

- [16] Dan Hooper, Albert Stebbins, and Kathryn M. Zurek. Excesses in cosmic ray positron and electron spectra from a nearby clump of neutralino dark matter. *Physical Review D*, 79(10), May 2009.
- [17] Hsin-Chia Cheng, Jonathan L. Feng, and Konstantin T. Matchev. Kaluza-klein dark matter. *Physical Review Letters*, 89(21), Oct 2002.
- [18] Xiaoyong Chu, Camilo Garcia-Cely, and Hitoshi Murayama. A practical and consistent parametrization of dark matter self-interactions. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2020(06):043–043, jun 2020.
- [19] Ayuki Kamada, Hee Jung Kim, and Takumi Kuwahara. Maximally self-interacting dark matter: models and predictions. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(12), dec 2020.
- [20] Edward W. Kolb and Michael S. Turner. The Early Universe, volume 69. 1990.
- [21] I. V. Moskalenko and A. W. Strong. Production and propagation of cosmic-ray positrons and electrons. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 493(2):694–707, Feb 1998.
- [22] Gordon L. Kane, Lian-Tao Wang, and Ting T. Wang. Supersymmetry and the cosmic ray positron excess. *Phys. Lett. B*, 536:263–269, 2002.
- [23] Dan Hooper, James E. Taylor, and Joseph Silk. Can supersymmetry naturally explain the positron excess? *Phys. Rev. D*, 69:103509, 2004.

References VI

- [24] S. W. Barwick, J. J. Beatty, A. Bhattacharyya, C. R. Bower, C. J. Chaput, S. Coutu, G. A. de Nolfo, J. Knapp, D. M. Lowder, S. McKee, and et al. Measurements of the cosmic-ray positron fraction from 1 to 50 g[clc]e[/clc]v. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 482(2):L191–L194, Jun 1997.
- [25] M. Aguilar, J. Alcaraz, J. Allaby, B. Alpat, G. Ambrosi, H. Anderhub, L. Ao, A. Arefiev, P. Azzarello, L. Baldini, and et al. Cosmic-ray positron fraction measurement from 1 to 30 gev with ams-01. *Physics Letters B*, 646(4):145–154, Mar 2007.
- [26] G.L. Kane, Lian-Tao Wang, and Ting T. Wang. Supersymmetry and the cosmic ray positron excess. *Physics Letters B*, 536(3-4):263–269, Jun 2002.
- [27] Silvio Orsi. PAMELA: A payload for antimatter matter exploration and light nuclei astrophysics. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, 580:880–883, 2007.
- [28] Kim Griest and Marc Kamionkowski. Unitarity Limits on the Mass and Radius of Dark Matter Particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 64:615, 1990.
- [29] Yi-Lei Tang and Gao-Liang Zhou. Calculations of the sommerfeld effect in a unified wave function framework. *Physical Review D*, 99(3), feb 2019.

References VII

- [30] Kfir Blum, Ryosuke Sato, and Tracy R. Slatyer. Self-consistent calculation of the sommerfeld enhancement. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2016(06):021–021, jun 2016.
- [31] Gianfranco Bertone and Dan Hooper. History of dark matter. Reviews of Modern Physics, 90(4), oct 2018.
- [32] Marco Casolino and The Pamela collaboration. The pamela cosmic ray space observatory: Detector, objectives and first results. 2009.
- [33] T. G. Guzik, James Hall Adams, G. Ampe, Gl Bashindzhagyan, Paul Richard Boberg, Gary Lee Case, Johan Juul Chang, Steven B. Ellison, Ali R. Fazely, G. A. Samsonov, Opher Ganel, R. Gould, Douglas Granger, R. M. Gunasingha, Joachim B. Isbert, L. A. Khein, Mohan V. Kher, D. Khettry, Hho Jung Kim, K. C. Kim, Stella K. Kim, Ig Mo Koo, Y. K. Kwon, L. Kommajasyula, Richard A. Kroeger, Ray Lockwood, Rajesh Mohan, Mikhail I. Panasyuk, B. Price, Wolfgang K. H. Schmidt, C. Schwarz, M. Sen, E-S. Seo, Ramin Sina, Michael Stewart, Alexander Voronin, David L. Wagner, Jessie Ziggler Wand, John P. Wefel, Julian Wu, and V. I. Zatsepin. The advanced thin ionization calorimeter (atic) for studies of high energy cosmic rays. 1999.

References VIII

- [34] Mathematical appendices. In L.D. LANDAU and E.M. LIFSHITZ, editors, *Quantum Mechanics (Third Edition)*, pages 647–665. Pergamon, third edition edition, 1977.
- [35] George Arfken. *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, third edition, 1985.
- [36] Henri Poincare and Henri. Vergne. Lecons sur les hypotheses cosmogoniques [microform] : professees a la Sorbonne / par H.Poincare ; redigees par Henri Vergne. A. Hermann et fils Paris, 1911.
- [37] E. Öpik. The question of selective absorption of light in space viewed from the viewpoint of the dynamics of the universe. 2022.
- [38] J H Oort. The force exerted by the stellar system in the direction perpendicular to the galactic plane and some related problems. *Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands*, 6:249–287, 1932.
- [39] Heinz Andernach and Fritz Zwicky. English and spanish translation of zwicky's (1933) the redshift of extragalactic nebulae, 2017.
- [40] Vera C. Rubin. Dark matter in the universe. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, 132(4):434–443, 1988.

References IX

- [41] Douglas Clowe, Maruš a Bradač, Anthony H. Gonzalez, Maxim Markevitch, Scott W. Randall, Christine Jones, and Dennis Zaritsky. A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 648(2):L109–L113, aug 2006.
- [42] E. Komatsu, C. L. Bennett, C. Barnes, R. Bean, C. L. Bennett, O. Dore, J. Dunkley, B. Gold, M. R. Greason, M. Halpern, R. S. Hill, G. Hinshaw, N. Jarosik, A. Kogut, E. Komatsu, D. Larson, M. Limon, S. S. Meyer, M. R. Nolta, N. Odegard, L. Page, H. V. Peiris, K. M. Smith, D. N. Spergel, G. S. Tucker, L. Verde, J. L. Weiland, E. Wollack, and E. L. Wright and. Results from the wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2014(6):6B102–0, jun 2014.
- [43] Marc Schumann. Direct detection of WIMP dark matter: concepts and status. Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, 46(10):103003, aug 2019.

References X

- [44] M. Kuźniak, P.-A. Amaudruz, M. Batygov, B. Beltran, J. Bonatt, M.G. Boulay,
 B. Broerman, J.F. Bueno, A. Butcher, B. Cai, M. Chen, R. Chouinard, B.T. Cleveland,
 K. Dering, J. DiGioseffo, F. Duncan, T. Flower, R. Ford, P. Giampa, P. Gorel, K. Graham,
 D.R. Grant, E. Guliyev, A.L. Hallin, M. Hamstra, P. Harvey, C.J. Jillings, I. Lawson, O. Li,
 P. Liimatainen, P. Majewski, A.B. McDonald, T. McElroy, K. McFarlane, J. Monroe,
 A. Muir, C. Nantais, C. Ng, A.J. Noble, C. Ouellet, K. Palladino, P. Pasuthip, S.J.M.
 Peeters, T. Pollmann, W. Rau, F. Retière, N. Seeburn, K. Singhrao, P. Skensved,
 B. Smith, T. Sonley, J. Tang, E. Vázquez-Jáuregui, L. Veloce, J. Walding, and M. Ward.
 DEAP-3600 dark matter search. *Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings*, 273-275:340–346, apr 2016.
- [45] J. Angle, E. Aprile, F. Arneodo, L. Baudis, A. Bernstein, A. Bolozdynya, P. Brusov,
 L. C. C. Coelho, C. E. Dahl, L. DeViveiros, A. D. Ferella, L. M. P. Fernandes, S. Fiorucci,
 R. J. Gaitskell, K. L. Giboni, R. Gomez, R. Hasty, L. Kastens, J. Kwong, J. A. M. Lopes,
 N. Madden, A. Manalaysay, A. Manzur, D. N. McKinsey, M. E. Monzani, K. Ni,
 U. Oberlack, J. Orboeck, G. Plante, R. Santorelli, J. M. F. dos Santos, P. Shagin,
 T. Shutt, P. Sorensen, S. Schulte, C. Winant, and M. Yamashita. First results from the
 XENON10 dark matter experiment at the gran sasso national laboratory. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(2), jan 2008.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

References XI

- [46] R. Bernabei, P. Belli, F. Cappella, V. Caracciolo, S. Castellano, R. Cerulli, C. J. Dai, A. d'Angelo, S. d'Angelo, A. Di Marco, H. L. He, A. Incicchitti, H. H. Kuang, X. H. Ma, F. Montecchia, D. Prosperi, X. D. Sheng, R. G. Wang, and Z. P. Ye. Final model independent result of DAMA/LIBRA-phase1. *The European Physical Journal C*, 73(12), nov 2013.
- [47] D.S. Akerib, C.W. Akerlof, D.Yu. Akimov, A. Alguahtani, S.K. Alsum, T.J. Anderson, N. Angelides, H.M. Araújo, A. Arbuckle, J.E. Armstrong, M. Arthurs, H. Auyeung, X. Bai, A.J. Bailey, J. Balajthy, S. Balashov, J. Bang, M.J. Barry, J. Barthel, D. Bauer, P. Bauer, A. Baxter, J. Belle, P. Beltrame, J. Bensinger, T. Benson, E.P. Bernard, A. Bernstein, A. Bhatti, A. Biekert, T.P. Biesiadzinski, B. Birrittella, K.E. Boast, A.I. Bolozdynya, E.M. Boulton, B. Boxer, R. Bramante, S. Branson, P. Brás, M. Breidenbach, J.H. Buckley, V.V. Bugaev, R. Bunker, S. Burdin, J.K. Busenitz, J.S. Campbell, C. Carels, D.L. Carlsmith, B. Carlson, M.C. Carmona-Benitez, M. Cascella, C. Chan, J.J. Cherwinka, A.A. Chiller, C. Chiller, N.I. Chott, A. Cole, J. Coleman, D. Colling, R.A. Conley, A. Cottle, R. Coughlen, W.W. Craddock, D. Curran, A. Currie, J.E. Cutter, J.P. da Cunha, C.E. Dahl, S. Dardin, S. Dasu, J. Davis, T.J.R. Davison, L. de Viveiros, N. Decheine, A. Dobi, J.E.Y. Dobson, E. Druszkiewicz, A. Dushkin, T.K. Edberg, W.R. Edwards, B.N. Edwards, J. Edwards, M.M. Elnimr, W.T. Emmet, S.R. Eriksen, C.H. Faham, A. Fan, S. Fayer,

References XII

S. Fiorucci, H. Flaecher, I.M. Fogarty Florang, P. Ford, V.B. Francis, F. Froborg, T. Fruth, R.J. Gaitskell, N.J. Gantos, D. Garcia, A. Geffre, V.M. Gehman, R. Gelfand, J. Genovesi, R.M. Gerhard, C. Ghag, E. Gibson, M.G.D. Gilchriese, S. Gokhale, B. Gomber, T.G. Gonda, A. Greenall, S. Greenwood, G. Gregerson, M.G.D. van der Grinten, C.B. Gwilliam, C.R. Hall, D. Hamilton, S. Hans, K. Hanzel, T. Harrington, A. Harrison, C. Hasselkus, S.J. Haselschwardt, D. Hemer, S.A. Hertel, J. Heise, S. Hillbrand, O. Hitchcock, C. Hjemfelt, M.D. Hoff, B. Holbrook, E. Holtom, J.Y-K. Hor, M. Horn, D.Q. Huang, T.W. Hurteau, C.M. Ignarra, M.N. Irving, R.G. Jacobsen, O. Jahangir, S.N. Jeffery, W. Ji, M. Johnson, J. Johnson, P. Johnson, W.G. Jones, A.C. Kaboth, A. Kamaha, K. Kamdin, V. Kasey, K. Kazkaz, J. Keefner, D. Khaitan, M. Khaleeq, A. Khazov, A.V. Khromov, I. Khurana, Y.D. Kim, W.T. Kim, C.D. Kocher, A.M. Konovalov, L. Korley, E.V. Korolkova, M. Koyuncu, J. Kras, H. Kraus, S.W. Kravitz, H.J. Krebs, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, V.A. Kudryavtsev, A.V. Kumpan, S. Kyre, A.R. Lambert, B. Landerud, N.A. Larsen, A. Laundrie, E.A. Leason, H.S. Lee, J. Lee, C. Lee, B.G. Lenardo, D.S. Leonard, R. Leonard, K.T. Lesko, C. Levy, J. Li, Y. Liu, J. Liao, F.-T. Liao, J. Lin, A. Lindote, R. Linehan, W.H. Lippincott, R. Liu, X. Liu, C. Loniewski, M.I. Lopes, B. López Paredes, W. Lorenzon, D. Lucero, S. Luitz, J.M. Lyle, C. Lynch, P.A. Majewski, J. Makkinje, D.C. Malling, A. Manalaysay, L. Manenti, R.L. Mannino,

うせん 正則 ふゆやえゆやえ 日や

References XIII

N. Marangou, D.J. Markley, P. MarrLaundrie, T.J. Martin, M.F. Marzioni, C. Maupin, C.T. McConnell, D.N. McKinsey, J. McLaughlin, D.-M. Mei, Y. Meng, E.H. Miller, Z.J. Minaker, E. Mizrachi, J. Mock, D. Molash, A. Monte, M.E. Monzani, J.A. Morad, E. Morrison, B.J. Mount, A.St.J. Murphy, D. Naim, A. Naylor, C. Nedlik, C. Nehrkorn, H.N. Nelson, J. Nesbit, F. Neves, J.A. Nikkel, J.A. Nikoleyczik, A. Nilima, J. O'Dell, H. Oh, F.G. O'Neill, K. O'Sullivan, I. Olcina, M.A. Olevitch, K.C. Oliver-Mallory, L. Oxborough, A. Pagac, D. Pagenkopf, S. Pal, K.J. Palladino, V.M. Palmaccio, J. Palmer, M. Pangilinan, S.J. Patton, E.K. Pease, B.P. Penning, G. Pereira, C. Pereira, I.B. Peterson, A. Piepke, S. Pierson, S. Powell, R.M. Preece, K. Pushkin, Y. Qie, M. Racine, B.N. Ratcliff, J. Reichenbacher, L. Reichhart, C.A. Rhyne, A. Richards, Q. Riffard, G.R.C. Rischbieter, J.P. Rodrigues, H.J. Rose, R. Rosero, P. Rossiter, R. Rucinski, G. Rutherford, D. Rynders, J.S. Saba, L. Sabarots, D. Santone, M. Sarychev, A.B.M.R. Sazzad, R.W. Schnee, M. Schubnell, P.R. Scovell, M. Severson, D. Seymour, S. Shaw, G.W. Shutt, T.A. Shutt, J.J. Silk, C. Silva, K. Skarpaas, W. Skulski, A.R. Smith, R.J. Smith, R.E. Smith, J. So, M. Solmaz, V.N. Solovov, P. Sorensen, V.V. Sosnovtsev, I. Stancu, M.R. Stark, S. Stephenson, N. Stern, A. Stevens, T.M. Stiegler, K. Stifter, R. Studley, T.J. Sumner, K. Sundarnath, P. Sutcliffe, N. Swanson, M. Szydagis, M. Tan, W.C. Taylor, R. Taylor, D.J. Taylor, D. Temples, B.P. Tennyson, P.A. Terman, K.J.

References XIV

Thomas, J.A. Thomson, D.R. Tiedt, M. Timalsina, W.H. To, A. Tomás, T.E. Tope, M. Tripathi, D.R. Tronstad, C.E. Tull, W. Turner, L. Tvrznikova, M. Utes, U. Utku, S. Uvarov, J. Va'vra, A. Vacheret, A. Vaitkus, J.R. Verbus, T. Vietanen, E. Voirin, C.O. Vuosalo, S. Walcott, W.L. Waldron, K. Walker, J.J. Wang, R. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Wang, J.R. Watson, J. Migneault, S. Weatherly, R.C. Webb, W.-Z. Wei, M. While, R.G. White, J.T. White, D.T. White, T.J. Whitis, W.J. Wisniewski, K. Wilson, M.S. Witherell, F.L.H. Wolfs, J.D. Wolfs, D. Woodward, S.D. Worm, X. Xiang, Q. Xiao, J. Xu, M. Yeh, J. Yin, I. Young, and C. Zhang. The LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) experiment. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 953:163047, feb 2020.

[48] Dan Hooper. Tasi lectures on indirect searches for dark matter, 2018.[49]

・ロト・(日)・(日)・(日)・(日)・

References XV

- [50] O. Adriani, G. C. Barbarino, G. A. Bazilevskaya, R. Bellotti, M. Boezio, E. A. Bogomolov, M. Bongi, V. Bonvicini, S. Bottai, A. Bruno, F. Cafagna, D. Campana, P. Carlson, M. Casolino, G. Castellini, C. De Santis, V. Di Felice, A. M. Galper, A. V. Karelin, S. V. Koldashov, S. Koldobskiy, S. Y. Krutkov, A. N. Kvashnin, A. Leonov, V. Malakhov, L. Marcelli, M. Martucci, A. G. Mayorov, W. Menn, M. Mergè, V. V. Mikhailov, E. Mocchiutti, A. Monaco, R. Munini, N. Mori, G. Osteria, B. Panico, P. Papini, M. Pearce, P. Picozza, M. Ricci, S. B. Ricciarini, M. Simon, R. Sparvoli, P. Spillantini, Y. I. Stozhkov, A. Vacchi, E. Vannuccini, G. Vasilyev, S. A. Voronov, Y. T. Yurkin, G. Zampa, and N. Zampa. Ten Years of PAMELA in Space. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1801.10310, January 2018.
- [51] Oscar Adriani, Giancarlo Barbarino, Galina Bazilevskaya, Roberto Bellotti, Mirko Boezio, É. A. Bogomolov, M. Bongi, Valter Bonvicini, Sergio Bottai, Alessandro Bruno, Francesco Saverio Cafagna, Donatella Campana, Per Carlson, Marco Casolino, Giovanni Castellini, Cristian De Santis, V. Di Felice, Arkady Moiseev Galper, A. V. Karelin, Sergey Koldashov, Sergey A. Koldobskiy, S. Y. Krutkov, A. N. Kvashnin, Alexey A. Leonov, V. V. Malakhov, L. Marcelli, Matteo Martucci, Andrey Mayorov, W. Menn, Matteo Mergé, V. V. Mikhailov, Emiliano Mocchiutti, Anthony P. Monaco, R. Munini, Nicola Mori, Giuseppe Osteria, Beatrice Panico, Paolo Papini, Mark Pearce, Piergiorgio Picozza,

References XVI

M. Ricci, Sergio Bruno Ricciarini, Mary J. Simon, Roberta Sparvoli, P. Spillantini, Y. I. Stozhkov, Andrea Vacchi, E. Vannuccini, G. I. Vasilyev, S. A. Voronov, Yu. T. Yurkin, Gianluigi Zampa, and Nicola Zampa. Ten years of pamela in space. *Rivista Del Nuovo Cimento*, 40:1, 2018.

- [52] Simona Murgia. The fermi–lat galactic center excess: Evidence of annihilating dark matter? *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, 70(1):455–483, 2020.
- [53] Ch Sander. Egret excess of diffuse galactic gamma rays as a trace of the dark matter halo. *Acta Physica Polonica B*, pages 1975–, 07 2006.
- [54] H. Abdalla, A. Abramowski, F. Aharonian, F. Ait Benkhali, A. G. Akhperjanian, T. Andersson, E. O. Angüner, M. Arrieta, P. Aubert, M. Backes, A. Balzer, M. Barnard, Y. Becherini, J. Becker Tjus, D. Berge, S. Bernhard, K. Bernlöhr, E. Birsin, R. Blackwell, M. Böttcher, C. Boisson, J. Bolmont, P. Bordas, J. Bregeon, F. Brun, P. Brun, M. Bryan, T. Bulik, M. Capasso, J. Carr, S. Casanova, N. Chakraborty, R. Chalme-Calvet, R. C. G. Chaves, A. Chen, J. Chevalier, M. Chrétien, S. Colafrancesco, G. Cologna, B. Condon, J. Conrad, C. Couturier, Y. Cui, I. D. Davids, B. Degrange, C. Deil, J. Devin, P. deWilt, A. Djannati-Ataï, W. Domainko, A. Donath, L. O'C. Drury, G. Dubus, K. Dutson, J. Dyks, M. Dyrda, T. Edwards, K. Egberts, P. Eger, J.-P. Ernenwein, S. Eschbach,

イロト 不同 トイヨト イヨト 三日 ろくや

References XVII

C. Farnier, S. Fegan, M. V. Fernandes, A. Fiasson, G. Fontaine, A. Förster, S. Funk, M. Füßling, S. Gabici, M. Gajdus, Y. A. Gallant, T. Garrigoux, G. Giavitto, B. Giebels, J. F. Glicenstein, D. Gottschall, A. Goyal, M.-H. Grondin, M. Grudzińska, D. Hadasch, J. Hahn, J. Hawkes, G. Heinzelmann, G. Henri, G. Hermann, O. Hervet, A. Hillert, J. A. Hinton, W. Hofmann, C. Hoischen, M. Holler, D. Horns, A. Ivascenko, A. Jacholkowska, M. Jamrozy, M. Janiak, D. Jankowsky, F. Jankowsky, M. Jingo, T. Jogler, L. Jouvin, I. Jung-Richardt, M. A. Kastendieck, K. Katarzyński, U. Katz, D. Kerszberg, B. Khélifi, M. Kieffer, J. King, S. Klepser, D. Klochkov, W. Kluźniak, D. Kolitzus, Nu. Komin, K. Kosack, S. Krakau, M. Kraus, F. Krayzel, P. P. Krüger, H. Laffon, G. Lamanna, J. Lau, J.-P. Lees, J. Lefaucheur, V. Lefranc, A. Lemière, M. Lemoine-Goumard, J.-P. Lenain, E. Leser, R. Liu, T. Lohse, M. Lorentz, I. Lypova, V. Marandon, A. Marcowith, C. Mariaud, R. Marx, G. Maurin, N. Maxted, M. Mayer, P. J. Meintjes, M. Meyer, A. M. W. Mitchell, R. Moderski, M. Mohamed, K. Morå, E. Moulin, T. Murach, M. de Naurois, F. Niederwanger, J. Niemiec, L. Oakes, P. O'Brien, H. Odaka, S. Ohm, M. Ostrowski, S. Öttl, I. Oya, M. Padovani, M. Panter, R. D. Parsons, M. Paz Arribas, N. W. Pekeur, G. Pelletier, C. Perennes, P.-O. Petrucci, B. Peyaud, S. Pita, H. Poon, D. Prokhorov, H. Prokoph, G. Pühlhofer, M. Punch, A. Quirrenbach, S. Raab, A. Reimer, O. Reimer, M. Renaud, R. de los Reyes, F. Rieger, C. Romoli, S. Rosier-Lees, G. Rowell,

References XVIII

B. Rudak, C. B. Rulten, V. Sahakian, D. Salek, D. A. Sanchez, A. Santangelo, M. Sasaki, R. Schlickeiser, F. Schüssler, A. Schulz, U. Schwanke, S. Schwemmer, M. Settimo, A. S. Seyffert, N. Shafi, I. Shilon, R. Simoni, H. Sol, F. Spanier, G. Spengler, F. Spies, Ł. Stawarz, R. Steenkamp, C. Stegmann, F. Stinzing, K. Stycz, I. Sushch, J.-P. Tavernet, T. Tavernier, A. M. Taylor, R. Terrier, L. Tibaldo, M. Tluczykont, C. Trichard, R. Tuffs, J. van der Walt, C. van Eldik, B. van Soelen, G. Vasileiadis, J. Veh, C. Venter, A. Viana, P. Vincent, J. Vink, F. Voisin, H. J. Völk, T. Vuillaume, Z. Wadiasingh, S. J. Wagner, P. Wagner, R. M. Wagner, R. White, A. Wierzcholska, P. Willmann, A. Wörnlein, D. Wouters, R. Yang, V. Zabalza, D. Zaborov, M. Zacharias, A. A. Zdziarski, A. Zech, F. Zefi, A. Ziegler, and N. Żywucka. H.e.s.s. limits on linelike dark matter signatures in the 100 gev to 2 tev energy range close to the galactic center. Phys. Rev. Lett., 117:151302, Oct 2016.

- [55] Joshua Berger, Yanou Cui, Mathew Graham, Lina Necib, Gianluca Petrillo, Dane Stocks, Yun-Tse Tsai, and Yue Zhao. Prospects for detecting boosted dark matter in dune through hadronic interactions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:095012, May 2021.
- [56] Giovanni Renzi. Search for dark matter from the center of the earth with 8 years of icecube data, 2021.

References XIX

- [57] Chuan-Hung Chen, Cheng-Wei Chiang, and Takaaki Nomura. Dark matter for excess of AMS-02 positrons and antiprotons. *Physics Letters B*, 747:495–499, jul 2015.
- [58] O. Adriani, G. C. Barbarino, G. A. Bazilevskaya, R. Bellotti, M. Boezio, E. A. Bogomolov, L. Bonechi, M. Bongi, V. Bonvicini, S. Bottai, A. Bruno, F. Cafagna, D. Campana, P. Carlson, M. Casolino, G. Castellini, M. P. De Pascale, G. De Rosa, N. De Simone, V. Di Felice, A. M. Galper, L. Grishantseva, P. Hofverberg, S. V. Koldashov, S. Y. Krutkov, A. N. Kvashnin, A. Leonov, V. Malvezzi, L. Marcelli, W. Menn, V. V. Mikhailov, E. Mocchiutti, S. Orsi, G. Osteria, P. Papini, M. Pearce, P. Picozza, M. Ricci, S. B. Ricciarini, M. Simon, R. Sparvoli, P. Spillantini, Y. I. Stozhkov, A. Vacchi, E. Vannuccini, G. Vasilyev, S. A. Voronov, Y. T. Yurkin, G. Zampa, N. Zampa, and V. G. Zverev. An anomalous positron abundance in cosmic rays with energies 1.5–100 GeV. *Nature*, 458(7238):607–609, apr 2009.
- [59] Jin Chang, Jim Adams, H Ahn, George Bashindzhagyan, M Christl, O Ganel, T Guzik, Joachim Isbert, Kyung-Chan Kim, En Kuznetsov, M. Panasyuk, Alexander Panov, W. Schmidt, Eunho Seo, Natalia Sokolskaya, John Watts, J Wefel, Jinyuan Wu, and V Zatsepin. An excess of cosmic ray electrons at energies of 300–800 gev. *Nature*, 456:362–5, 12 2008.

References XX

- [60] Dan Hooper, Douglas P. Finkbeiner, and Gregory Dobler. Possible evidence for dark matter annihilations from the excess microwave emission around the center of the galaxy seen by the wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe. *Phys. Rev. D*, 76:083012, Oct 2007.
- [61] Gerard Jungman, Marc Kamionkowski, and Kim Griest. Supersymmetric dark matter. *Physics Reports*, 267(5-6):195–373, mar 1996.
- [62] Flip Tanedo. Defense against the dark arts notes on dark matter and particle physics flip tanedo.
- [63] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables.* Dover, New York City, ninth dover printing, tenth gpo printing edition, 1964.
- [64] W. J. G. de Blok. The core-cusp problem. Advances in Astronomy, 2010:1-14, 2010.
- [65] David Weinberg, James Bullock, F. Governato, Rachel Naray, and Annika Peter. Cold dark matter: Controversies on small scales. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112, 06 2013.
- [66] Matthew R. Buckley and Patrick J. Fox. Dark matter self-interactions and light force carriers. *Physical Review D*, 81(8), apr 2010.
- [67] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.2. Champaign, IL, 2022.

References XXI

[68] Beatrice Panico, Donatella Campana, Giuseppe Osteria, Carlo Gustavino, O. Adriani,
M. Bongi, Piero Spillantini, Newton Mori, P. Papini, Elena Vannuccini, Galina
Bazilevskaya, A. Kvashnin, Yuri Stozhkov, R. Bellotti, Alfonso Monaco, Alessandro Bruno,
F. Cafagna, M. Boezio, V. Bonvicini, and S. Ricciarini. Cosmic rays investigation by the
pamela experiment. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1342:012017, 01 2020.

Table of Contents



シック 正則 スポッスポッスロッ

Why New dark force?

Models that try to fit the observed PAMELA spectrum with positrons from DM annihilation usually consider boost factors of $10^2 - 10^5$ in order to match the strength of the observed excess with the annihilation rate [5, 6].



Figure 10: Values of $B_e \cdot \sigma v$ (right axis) and of the boost factor B_e (left axis, for the standard $\sigma \nu = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$) suggested by PAMELA excess[7].

Why New dark force?

To fit the observed ATIC spectrum with $e^- - e^+$ from KK dark matter annihilation, ATIC collaborator consider boost factor of ~ 200 .



Figure 11: Assuming an annihilation of Kaluza-Klein dark matter with mass 620 GeV[4].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のなべ

SIDM: New Force

• Core-cusp problem:

Discrepancy between density profile of numerical simulations and observation is called core-cusp problem.

• Missing satellite problem:

Numerical simulation predict that, milky way should have several hundreds subhalos with $\nu_{max} \sim 10-30 km/s$ within its virial radius, however only 11 dwarf satellite galaxies were known in Milky way

• Too big to fail problem: This problem states that many of the satellites predicted by simulation are simply so massive that there is no way they couldn't have visible stars. Another way of looking at it: the observed satellites of the Milky Way are not massive enough to be consistent with predictions from CDM simulation.

 \bigstar In order to explain these astrophysical observations, the dark matter self scattering cross section must be:

$$\sigma \approx 2 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2(m_\chi/\text{GeV}). \tag{21}$$

Effective range theory [18, 19]

The differential cross section of any collision determines the scattering rate and describes the velocity dependence of the process.

Effective range formalism

$$k^{2\ell+1}\mathrm{cot}\delta_\ell(k)\simeq -rac{1}{a_\ell^{2\ell+1}}+rac{1}{2r_{e,\ell}^{2\ell-1}}k^2$$

where
$$a_{\ell}$$
: scattering length and $r_{e,\ell}$:effective range. At sufficiently low energies, this approximation accurately describes phase shifts.

 \star Therefore, if one partial wave dominates the scattering process, only two factors can be used to estimate how the cross section depends on the velocity.

(22)

Computational Method: STEP 1

we change the initial point from 0 to $x_i > 0$ we apply a different initial condition for $\tilde{\chi}_{k\ell}$ which is $x = x_i$ (close to the origin). When x_i is small enough $(x_i \ll b, \sqrt{(\ell+1)}/a, (\ell+1))$, the Yukawa and a^2 terms in equation ?? become subdominant compared to the angular momentum term, and the solution is approximately $\chi_{k\ell}(x) \propto x^{\ell+1}$. We apply the initial condition, disregarding the global normalisation of $\chi_{k\ell}(x)$ because it is not important to determine δ_{ℓ} :

$$\tilde{\chi}_{k\ell}(x_i) = 1, \tag{23a}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}'_{k\ell}(x_i) = \frac{(\ell+1)}{x_i}.$$
(23b)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Based on trial and error within parameter range in numerical computation, we select following condition, which states that x_i must be small enough for equation 23a and 23b to hold:

$$x_i pprox 10^{-2} imes$$
 Numerical minimum of $(b, \sqrt{(\ell+1)}/a, \ell+1)$ (24a)

This is our initial estimate of a sufficiently small x_i .

6th YAM ()

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のの⊙

With the initial conditions (equations 23a and 23b) applied, we solve equation **??** for $x \in [x_i, x_m]$. Here, the end point x_m is chosen so that the Yukawa term is insignificant compared to the term a^2 and the exponential term according to condition $a^2 \gg e^{(-x_m/b)}/x_m$. The phase shift δ_ℓ will be obtained by matching the solution at x_m for a potential that is precisely zero for $x \ge x_m$.

The condition specified for x_m in the numerical computation is

$x_m = 10 imes$ Numerical maxima of (b, x)	(25a)
where, $a^2 = \exp{(-x/b)/x}$.	(25b)

STEP 3

we determine Phase shift by, matching $\chi_{k\ell}(x)$ to the asymptotic form at x_m to obtain the phase shift, which is

$$\chi_{k\ell}(x) = ax(\cos\delta_{\ell}j_{\ell}(ax) - \sin\delta_{\ell}n_{\ell}(ax)) \text{ for } x \to \infty,$$
(26a)

It comes from

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} R_{k\ell}(r) = kr [\cos \delta_{\ell} j_{\ell}(kr) - \sin \delta_{\ell} n_{\ell}(kr)].$$
⁽²⁷⁾

here j_{ℓ} and n_{ℓ} are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions respectively. Phase shift is determined by inverting equation 26a,

$$\delta_{\ell} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{a x_m j_{\ell}'(a x_m) - \beta_{\ell} j_{\ell}(a x_m)}{a x_m n_{\ell}'(a x_m) - \beta_{\ell} n_{\ell}(a x_m)} \right).$$
(28a)

where,
$$eta_\ell = rac{x_m ilde{\chi}'_{k\ell}(x_m)}{ ilde{\chi}_{k\ell}(x_m)} - 1$$

→□▶→□▶→==>→==>→==>><⊙

STEP 3 Continue

we determine self scattering cross section by substituting obtained phase shift:

$$\sigma \times m_{\phi}^2 = \frac{4\pi}{\left(ab\right)^2} (2\ell + 1) \sin^2 \delta_{\ell}$$
(29a)

STEP 4

To obtain the Sommerfeld enhancement factor for partial wave annihilation it's necessary to solve the equation 19, with and without potential near to the origin,

$$S_{k\ell} = \frac{|\chi_{k\ell}(0)|^2}{|\chi_{k\ell}^{(0)}(0)|^2} = |\mathscr{B}|^2.$$
(30)

where,

$$\chi_{k\ell} \approx \mathscr{B} \times \frac{(ax_i)^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!}$$
(31)

To find a value of \mathscr{B} (constant), we introduce another constant \mathscr{D} and computed values of phase shifts. for $x = x_m$, the asymptotic form of $\tilde{\chi}_{k\ell}$,

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{k\ell}(x_m) = \mathscr{D}(ax_m)(\cos\delta_\ell j_\ell(ax_m) - \sin\delta_\ell n_\ell(ax_m));$$

(32)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ○○

STEP 4 Continue

Now, if we assume $\frac{\tilde{\chi}_{k\ell}(x_i)}{\mathscr{D}}$ is similar with $\mathscr{B} \times \frac{(ax_i)^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!}$ and taking $\tilde{\chi}_{k\ell}(x_i) = 1$, as we have a central potential. As a result, the final equation for the Sommerfeld enhancement factor for partial waves is as follows.

$$S_{k\ell} = |\mathscr{B}|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\mathscr{D} \frac{(ax_i)^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!}} \right|^2.$$
 (33)

Plugging a determining value of phase shift δ_{ℓ} , into equation 32, we can find value of constant \mathscr{D} and through this value we can determine a Sommerfeld enhancement factor.

Results: Sommerfeld enhancement factor



Figure 12: Sommerfeld enhancement factor as a function of *b* for *s*-wave annihilation. (red: numerically solve Sommerfeld enhancement factor for Yukawa potential, blue and grey are analytically solved sommerfeld enhancement factor for Coulomb and Hulthén potential respectively.)

Results: Self scattering cross section on anti-resonance



Figure 13: Scattering cross-section as a function of *a* for first (black), second (gray) and third (brown) anti-resonance positions for *s*-wave.

Results: Self scattering cross section on anti-resonance



Figure 14: Self scattering cross section as a function of a, for first three anti-resonance positions for p-wave.

<ロ> <同> < 同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Results: Self scattering cross section on anti-resonance



Figure 15: Self scattering cross section as a function of *a* for anti-resonance positions for *d*-wave. (The observed wiggling behaviour on the first anti-resonance in this case isn't a mathematica artefact; rather, it has an unidentified physical significance.)

Why sharp behaviour on 2nd and 3rd resonances?

Phase shift as a function of velocity on 2nd resonance b = 6.448 for *s*-wave:



Why sharp behaviour on 2nd and 3rd resonances?

Phase shift as a function of velocity on 3nd resonance b = 14.3425 for *s*-wave:



Effective range formalism: on resonance behaviour of scattering cross section for small velocities

These higher partial wave behaviors can be evaluated using the effective range formalism [18, 19]:

$$k^{2\ell+1} {
m cot} \delta_\ell(k) \simeq -rac{1}{a_\ell^{2\ell+1}} + rac{1}{2r_{e,\ell}^{2\ell-1}}k^2,$$

here, *Cotangent* diverges at the origin and $a \to \infty$ as $k \to 0$, so in order find δ_ℓ ,

$$egin{aligned} k^{2\ell+1} \mathrm{cot} \delta_\ell(k) &pprox rac{1}{2r_{\mathrm{e},\ell}^{2\ell-1}} k^2, \ \delta_\ell(k) &\sim 2r_{\mathrm{e},\ell}^{2\ell-1} k^{2\ell-1} \end{aligned}$$

using the self scattering cross section formula $\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sin^2 \delta_\ell$,

$$\sigma \sim rac{4\pi}{k^2} (\ 2r_{e,\ell}^{2\ell-1}k^{2\ell-1})^2,$$

 $\therefore \sigma \sim 4\pi (\ 2r_{e,\ell}^{2\ell-1})^2k^{4\ell-4}$

This equation describes on resonance behavior of the self-scattering cross sections for higher partial waves.

<ロ> <同> < 同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Effective range formalism: on anti-resonance behaviour of scattering cross section for small velocities

To find self-scattering cross-section behavior at anti-resonance positions for *s*-wave and higher partial waves, one cannot directly use equation **??** because $a \rightarrow 0$ on anti-resonances. However, we can use,

$$k^{2\ell+1} ext{cot} \delta_\ell(k) pprox rac{1}{\mathscr{C}^{2\ell+3}k^2}$$
 , $\delta_\ell \sim \mathscr{C}^{2\ell+3}k^{2\ell+3}$,

and substituting it in self scattering cross section formula

$$\sigma \sim \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \left(\mathscr{C}^{2\ell+3} k^{2\ell+3} \right)^2$$

$$\therefore \sigma \sim 4\pi \mathscr{C}^{4\ell+6} k^{4\ell+4}$$

here \mathscr{C} is a length parameter.