

NASA/ESA/HUBBLE ST @ M. Hakan Ozsarac. - NGC1850

# Binaries in massive clusters with MUSE: Omega Cen and NGC 1850

## Sara Saracino (JMU - Liverpool)

in collaboration with S. Kamann, F. Wragg & the MUSE GC Team

20 August 2024, Warsaw, MODEST-24 conference



NASA/ESA/HUBBLE ST @ Maximilian Häberle –  $\omega$  Centauri





The interplay of binaries and star clusters

• O Trigger the formation of (new) close binary systems;

- via fly-by, dynamical interactions, three-body exchanges.



The interplay of binaries and star clusters

• O Trigger the formation of (new) close binary systems; - via fly-by, dynamical interactions, three-body exchanges.

• Lead to exotic binary interaction products; [Bailyn1992, Paresce+1992, Ferraro+1999, Pols+1991, Zorec&Briot1997, Bodensteiner+2020]

Low Mass X-ray binaries (hosting black holes)



Millisecond Pulsars (hosting neutron stars)







#### Cataclysmic Variables (hosting white dwarfs)

#### Be and shell stars



#### Blue Straggler Stars





The interplay of binaries and star clusters

O Trigger the formation of (new) close binary systems; - via fly-by, dynamical interactions, three-body exchanges.

O Lead to exotic bi [Bailyn1992, Paresce+1992

Low Mass X-ray binaries (hosting black holes)













# We need to constrain the orbital properties of binaries in clusters to understand which phenomena they are responsible for.

Cataclysmic Variables (hosting white dwarfs)

#### Be and shell stars



#### Blue Straggler Stars



# Where do we stand?



.. see also Ritchie+2022 for Westerlund 1





# Where do we stand?



.. see also Ritchie+2022 for Westerlund 1



Little or no info are available on intermediate-age clusters. How do we bridge the gap between very young clusters (with massive stars ~10  $M_{\odot}$ ) and old, evolved clusters (with only low-mass stars ~1  $M_{\odot}$ )?

Main open questions we aim to answer at the end of the survey:

• How strongly does a cluster binary population evolve with time? in terms of binary fraction, orbital parameter distributions, or pairing fractions.

• What is the frequency of interactions/mergers between binary components?

• How many stellar exotica (e.g. NS/BHs) can we find in clusters?

The project: "Systematic study" of the binary content and interaction products of massive clusters through cosmic time



### IFU spectrograph



#### THE BEST in terms of resolution and field of view!

Credits: ESO/S. Kamann

#### Looking at the future..



HARMONI@ELT

Credits: ESO



#### IFU spectrograph



THE BEST in terms of resolution and field of view!



#### The reduction software **PAMPELMUSE**



VLT+MUSE Wide Field Mode without Adaptive Optics

VLT+MUSE Narrow Field Mode with Adaptive Optics

Credits: ESO/S. Kamann

#### Looking at the future..



(Kamann et al. 2013)



You get a high S/N spectrum for every single star in the FOV

Inner regions of stellar clusters: Thousands of stars in one shot!





(4)



#### Software for modelling RV curves e.g. THE JOKER, Ultranest



to get orbital parameters and identify massive companions (e.g. NS/BH candidates)!



From the MUSE Survey of massive star clusters:

# NGC 1850

## Identity Card:

- In the Large Magellanic Cloud;
- Young (~100 Myr-old);
- Massive (~0.52 x  $10^5$  M $\odot$ , Song+2021).

### **OBSERVATIONS:**

- UV/Optical/NIR photometry from HST
- Multi-epoch Spectroscopy from VLT/MUSE
  - 16 epochs covering more than 2 years

FINAL SAMPLE: 2 500 stars

From the MUSE Survey of massive star clusters:

# NGC 1850

### Identity Card:

- In the Large Magellanic Cloud;
- Young (~100 Myr-old);
- Massive (~ $0.52 \times 10^5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ , Song+2021).

#### **OBSERVATIONS:**

- UV/Optical/NIR photometry from HST
- Multi-epoch Spectroscopy from VLT/MUSE
  - 16 epochs covering more than 2 years

FINAL SAMPLE: 2 500 stars

# $\omega$ Centauri

### **Identity Card:**

- the most massive (>  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ ) Galactic stellar system; (Meylan 1987; White & Shawl 1987)
- complex stellar system with metallicity spread > 1dex; (Johnson+2020)
- 15 stellar populations, with different element abundance; (Bellini+2017)

### **OBSERVATIONS:**

- UV/Optical/NIR photometry from HST
- **Multi-epoch Spectroscopy** from VLT/MUSE 17 epochs covering 8 years (2015 - 2022)

FINAL SAMPLE: 29 000 stars



## NGC 1850: detecting binaries



### **ORANGE OPEN POINTS:**

## Obvious **BINARY SYSTEMS** [> 95 % probability]



## NGC 1850: detecting binaries



## **ORANGE OPEN POINTS**:

## Obvious <u>BINARY SYSTEMS</u> [> 95 % probability]

## **RED FILLED POINTS**:

Binary systems with constrained orbital properties

Saracino+2023b



NGC 1850: detecting binaries



## **ORANGE OPEN POINTS**:

## Obvious <u>BINARY SYSTEMS</u> [> 95 % probability]

# **RED FILLED POINTS**:

Binary systems with constrained orbital properties

## **GREEN STAR SYMBOLS**:

Binary systems with constrained orbital properties & massive companions

Saracino+2023b



# NGC 1850: Binary Fraction

 Binary fraction: (24 ± 5) % for 2.5 M<sub>☉</sub> < M < 5 M<sub>☉</sub> stars. Little/no obvious binaries among Be and shell stars, consistent with other studies (e.g. NGC 330, Bodensteiner+2021)



# NGC 1850: Binary Fraction



# *w* Cen: detecting binaries



1.0 - 0.8 [P 0.0 probability - 0.4 Binary 0.2 0.0

# DARK POINTS: Obvious <u>BINARY SYSTEMS</u> [> 95 % probability]

#### **LIGHT POINTS:**

Single Stars

### **GREEN CROSSES:**

Photometric variables from literature catalogs. (RR Lyrae, SX Phe, LPV etc.)

# $\omega$ Cen: detecting binaries



- 0.8 [P<sub>var</sub>] probability Binary - 0 -0.2

1.0

## DARK POINTS: Obvious <u>BINARY SYSTEMS</u> [> 95 % probability]

#### **LIGHT POINTS:**

Single Stars

#### **GREEN CROSSES:**

Photometric variables from literature catalogs. (RR Lyrae, SX Phe, LPV etc.)

### MAGENTA, CYAN SQUARES:

Binary systems with constrained orbital properties

0.0

# $\omega$ Cen: Binary Fraction



Stellar Type (a)	N <sub>stars</sub> (b)	N <sub>binaries</sub> (c)	Discovery Fraction (d)	Sample Completeness (e)	Binary Fraction (f)
Global fraction	19059	275	$1.4\% \pm 0.1\%$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$2.1\% \pm 0.4\%$
MS	14871	183	$1.2\% \pm 0.1\%$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$2.0\% \pm 0.5\%$
ТО	7897	84	$1.1\% \pm 0.1\%$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.6\% \pm 0.4\%$
SGB	2396	23	$1.0\% \pm 0.3\%$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.2\% \pm 0.4\%$
RGB	1811	41	$2.3\% \pm 0.4\%$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.4\% \pm 0.4\%$
HB	232	6	$2.6\% \pm 1.3\%$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.0\% \pm 1.5\%$
AGB	33	0	0%	-	-
BSS	44	6	$13.6\% \pm 5.1\%$	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$21.9\%\pm9.5\%$

#### 222 binaries ( $P_{var} > 0.8$ )

#### Low binary fraction (~2%),

consistent with previous studies. (Bellini et al. 2017, Elson et al. 1995)

#### Wragg+2024, subm.



## Literature comparison:



Both stellar evolution and dynamical interactions at play.

Data from:

Sana+2013, Dunstall+2015, Banyard+2022, Ritchie+2022, Bodensteiner+2021, Giesers+2019, Saracino+2023b, Wragg+2024, subm, Muller-Horn+2024, subm

Adapted from Muller-Horn+2024, subm.



# Observed binary properties



• 4 binaries with high binary mass function.

# Observed binary properties



#### 27 well-constrained binaries (17% of the total)

• 4 binaries with high binary mass function.

20 well-constrained binaries (9% of the total)

• No BH or NS candidates. A WD candidate.

*w* Cen: completeness & purity of the sample





*w* Cen: completeness & purity of the sample



- 75% of the constrained binaries in  $\omega$  Cen have an evolved primary -

 $\omega$  Cen: mock data with dark remnants



Completeness [0.1 d < P < 500 d]: 51% star - WD binaries61% star - NS binaries66% star - BH binaries

 Stars orbiting dark remnants (especially NSs and BHs) are intrinsically rare;

and/or

• Dark remnants are in binaries with periods longer than expected from cluster dynamics.

## $\omega$ Cen: simulation vs observations



# Observations Simulation

The intrinsic period distribution of binaries in  $\omega$  Cen looks different from what predicted by current theoretical simulations (i.e. CMC) after over 10 Gyrs of simulated evolution.

Is it because of the initial binary properties, the treatment of binary evolution or the lack of a simulation tailored to *w* Cen?

Not clear, but worth investigating...







# Conclusions & Future Work:

1. <u>Binaries</u> are of key importance in <u>massive star clusters</u>, however very little is known about their orbital properties.



# Conclusions & Future Work:

1. <u>Binaries</u> are of key importance in <u>massive star clusters</u>, however very little is known about their orbital properties.

2. A <u>"systematic" study</u> of binaries in clusters of various ages helps understanding: 1) how the binary content evolves over time; 2) the expected number of binary interaction products.







# Conclusions & Future Work:

1. <u>Binaries</u> are of key importance in <u>massive star clusters</u>, however very little is known about their orbital properties.

2. A <u>"systematic" study</u> of binaries in clusters of various ages helps understanding: 1) how the binary content evolves over time; 2) the expected number of binary interaction products.

3. The investigation of NGC 1850 and  $\omega$  Centauri consolidates the path for studying stellar and binary evolution in clusters but a detailed comparison with simulations is needed.









#### An ideal dataset of **massive star clusters** to play with...



A collection of **young** (< 1 Gyr), **intermediate** (2-6 Gyr) and **old** (~12/13 Gyr) clusters in both the Milky Way and the Magellanic Clouds.

Kamann+2018; Saracino+2022; Kamann, SS +2023; etc.



#### An ideal dataset of **massive star clusters** to play with..



A collection of **young** (< 1 Gyr), **intermediate** (2-6 Gyr) and **old** (~12/13 Gyr) clusters in both the Milky Way and the Magellanic Clouds.

Kamann+2018; Saracino+2022; Kamann, SS +2023; etc.





#### Saracino+2022; +2023a; El Badry & Burdge+2022; Stevance+2022







#### **PROPERTIES:**

- P = 5.04 d, almost circular orbit;
- ellipsoidal variable, no eclipses;
- Mass function  $f(M) = 2.83 M_{\odot}$ ;
- M2: Low-mass stripped star (1-2 M⊙);
- M1 is more massive than 4.7 M⊙.

Saracino+2022; +2023a; El Badry & Burdge+2022; Stevance+2022









Long-period binary, with two possible orbital solutions:



Given f(M), if the visible star has no mass (M2=0), the unseen object has M > 10  $M_{\odot}$ .

