Interstellar Extinction

Stellar Distances

1 Distance to the stars

There are basically two methods to measure the distance *D* to a star

• Trigonometry

Measuring position changes of a star due to different viewing angles

Limited to near-by stars

Best distance values D_{GAIA} come from the satellite GAIA

1 Distance to the stars

There are basically two methods to measure the distance *D* to a star

• Trigonometry

Measuring position changes of a star due to different viewing angles

Limited to near-by stars

Best distance values D_{GAIA} come from the satellite GAIA

• Photometry

Measuring the apparent brightness *m* of a star and comparing it with its intrinsic brightness *M*

Stars in other galaxies can be reached

 $m = M + 5 \log D + A$

1 Distance to the stars

There are basically two methods to measure the distance *D* to a star

• Trigonometry

Measuring position changes of a star due to different viewing angles

Limited to near-by stars

Best distance values D_{GAIA} come from the satellite GAIA

• Photometry

Measuring the apparent brightness *m* of a star and comparing it with its intrinsic brightness *M*

Stars in other galaxies can be reached

 $m = M + 5 \log D + A$



The determination of the dust extinction A is required

2 Distance discrepancy

A distance comparison for 24 well-known stars with distances from GAIA astrometry D_{GAIA} and from high-precision photometry D_L shows a systematic discrepancy



2 Distance discrepancy

A distance comparison for 24 well-known stars with distances from GAIA astrometry D_{GAIA} and from high-precision photometry D_L shows a systematic discrepancy

The 1σ error bars in D_L primarily arise from systematic uncertainties (SpT, LC)

There are no stars with a distance ratio below 1.25 detected at 3 σ confidence.

The distances ratios are $0.7 \le D_L/D_{GAIA} \le 1.8$

Correcting the distance discrepancy is not feasible through arbitrary adjustments to commonly used literature values in *M*



2 Distance discrepancy

A distance comparison for 24 well-known stars with distances from GAIA astrometry D_{GAIA} and from high-precision photometry D_L shows a systematic discrepancy

The 1σ error bars in D_L primarily arise from systematic uncertainties (SpT, LC)

There are no stars with a distance ratio below 1.25 detected at 3 σ confidence.

The distances ratios are $0.7 \le D_L/D_{GAIA} \le 1.8$

Correcting the distance discrepancy is not feasible through arbitrary adjustments to commonly used literature values in *M*





In 80% of the cases there is an overprediction of the luminosity distance D_L

Our dust models can resolve the discrepancy by adding a population of micron-sized grains



Our dust models can resolve the discrepancy by adding a population of micron-sized grains

These grains produce an additional contribution of wavelength-independent extinction

This cannot be detected by traditional photometry





Our dust models can resolve the discrepancy by adding a population of micron-sized grains

These grains produce an additional contribution of wavelength-independent extinction

This cannot be detected by traditional photometry



Consequences

Distance indicators like Cepheids, RR Lyrae stars, and eclipsing binaries might suffer from inaccurate extinction correction

A Dark Dust component in the vicinity of SN Ia progenitors will contribute to the broadening of SN Ia light curves



Our dust models can resolve the discrepancy by adding a population of micron-sized grains

These grains produce an additional contribution of wavelength-independent extinction

This cannot be detected by traditional photometry



Consequences

Distance indicators like Cepheids, RR Lyrae stars, and eclipsing binaries might suffer from inaccurate extinction correction

A Dark Dust component in the vicinity of SN Ia progenitors will contribute to the broadening of SN Ia light curves





Implications for the quantity of **Dark Energy**