

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 952480





### Einstein Telescope: Detection of Binary Black Hole Gravitational Wave Signals Using Deep Learning

Wathela Alhassan<sup>1</sup>

Advisor: Prof Tomasz Bulik<sup>1,2</sup>, Dr M. Suchenek<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Particle Astrophysics Science and Technology Centre, Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, <sup>2</sup>Astronomical Observatory of the University of Warsaw

CAMK Annual meeting, 2024, Warsaw.



# 3<sup>rd</sup> generation detectors – Einstein Telescope (ET)

- ET will consist of three nested detectors (shown in blue, green and red) in a triangular arrangement.
- Each detector consists of two interferometers, one optimised for lowfrequency (solid) and one for high-frequency sensitivity (dashed).



Credit: et-gw.eu

# Single sub-detector



Alhassan et al. (2022), MNRAS

### Sub-detectors combined data



### Sub-detectors combined data



### Results



Single detector (Alhassan et al. (2022)) versus all three detectors combined for sources with *Flow* of 30 H z.

## Results



Three sub-detectors combined for sources with  $F_{low}$  of 5 H z, 10 H z, 15 H z, 20 H z and 30 H z.

#### Presented at NeurIPS'23 $\succ$ Submitted to A&A

#### Einstein Telescope: detection of binary black holes gravitational wave signals using deep learning

Wathela Alhassan, T. Bulik, M. Suchenek Particle Astrophysics Science and Technology Centre, Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Rektorska 4, 00-614 Warsaw, Poland Astronomical Observatory, University of Warsaw, Aleje Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland

#### Three detectors combined data evaluation Left panel shows the accuracy of the detection acquired for the three detectors combined data and This is a continuation of our previous work [1] (WTM1 hereafter) on the detection of binary the previously obtained single detector accuracy in WTM1. The plot shows clearly a significant black holes (BBHs) gravitational wave (GWs) improvement throughout all the 5 SNR ranges, especially at lower SNR ranges. The detection accuracy has improved from 60%, 60.5%, 84.5%, 94.5% and 98.5% to 78.5%, 84%, 99.5%, 100% signals from the Einstein Telescope (ET) [2] using deep learning (DL). ET is designed to be and 100% for sources with SNR of 4-5, 5-6, 6-7, 7-8 and >8 respectively. Right panel shows the an underground GWs detector, where the seisdetection accuracy from our testing dataset, for each Flow individually. The results show overall mic noise is much lower, and hence the level of similar performance for all frequencies among all SNR ranges, with relatively Inferior performance observed for lower SNR (4-6) at $F_{tau}$ of 5 Hz as expected. Newtonian noise. The ET will consist of three nested detectors with 10 Km long of each, in an equilateral triangle shape, with arm-opening angles of 60° [3]. Compared to the current GWs detector, the ET 10 km detectors length will significantly improve its sensitivity allowing the Film: 5Hz Film: 10Hz Film: 15Hz observation of GWs at lower frequency. Each detector of ET will consist of two interferome - Film: 20Hz ters, one optimised for low-frequency and one - Fire: 30Hz for high-frequency sensitivity. In this work each 45 5.0 5.5 5.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 detector is assumed to have a single interferom-Evaluation on ET's synthetic data Left panel shows the False Alarm Rate (FAR) as a function of SNR, where the three detectors Previous work focused on the detection of BBHs combined results are compared to the single detector results in WTM1. The general trend is kept sources that were generated using only one sinas previously in WTM1, where the FAR decrease as SNR increases. Far values have significantly gle detector of ET, with lower frequency of 30 improved, especially for sources with lower SNR, from 0.712, 0.535, 0.050, 0.014 and 0.001 to 0.526, Hz, and with five signal to noise ratio (SNR) 0.296, 0.006, 0.004 and 0.0 for SNR 4-5, 5-6, 6-7, 7-8 and >8 respectively. On the right panel, FAR ranges: 4-5, 5-6, 6-7, 7-8 and >8. In this work, values are shown for each $F_{low}$ , where the performance is nearly identical except for $F_{low}$ of 5 Hz we explore the detection efficiency of BBHs uswhich is expected as shown in the accuracy results above. ing data combined form all the three proposed detectors of ET (hereafter three detectors combined data), with five different lower frequency - Fire : SHZ Film: 10Hz Film: 15Hz Film: 20Hz cutoff (Flow): 5 Hz, 10 Hz, 15 Hz, 20 Hz and 0.5 30 Hz, employing identical SNR ranges for each - Fire: 30Hz 0.1 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 45 5.0 The Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) [4] was used to generate our three detectors combined spectrograms $(S_{E123})$ samples, three spec-Below left panel shows a great improvement throughout all SNR ranges, especially at SNR 4-5, where trograms $(S_{E1}, S_{E2}, \text{ and } S_{E3})$ for each source for

the number of undetected sources was reduced by 100 in the three detectors combined data. In term of chirp mass, the top right panel shows similar trend with a great improvement for sources with 15-20  $M_{\odot}$ . Sources with higher SNR and chirp mass have a higher detection rate (smaller FAR) but the three detectors combined data has a significant improvement over the single detector data regardless of the fact that the same source would have less or more SNR on each detector.

Undetected (Single detect Indetected (Single deter Undetected (3 detectors) Undetected (3 detectors 12 CNIP Chirp Mass [Mo]

#### References

detectors combined data.

Introduction

eter.

Objective

frequency.

Data & CNN model

each detector (E1, E2, and E3) were produced

and then stacked in a form of an RGB image.

 $S_{E123}$  can be represented mathematically as:

 $S_{E123} = \begin{bmatrix} G(i, j) \\ B(i, j) \end{bmatrix}$ 

Where R(i, j), G(i, j) and B(i, j) are the normalized intensity values for each channel at pixel i, j in  $S_{E1}(i, j)$ ,  $S_{E2}(i, j)$ , and  $S_{E3}(i, j)$ . Deep Residual Neural Networks (ResNet-101) [5] model had the best overall averaged performance in WTM1. ResNet was used in this work to evaluate its performance on the three

 Alhassan, W., Bulik, T., Suchenek, M. 2022, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 519, 3843 [2] Maggiore, M., Van Den Broeck, C., Bartolo, N., et al. 2020, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 2020, 050
Branchesi, M., Maggiore, M., Alonso, D., et al. 2023, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 2023, 068 [4] Yin, Q., Shen, L., Lu, M., Wang, X., Liu, Z. 2013, Journal of Systems Engineering and Electroni ics 24 [5] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J. 2015, Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition

ResNet model was able to detect sources at 86.601 Gpc, with 3.9 averaged SNR (averaged SNR from the three detectors) and 13.632 chirp mass at 5 Hz. The complete scan of 25 hours of data (for each detector) took 22 minutes, which equates to 4.7 minutes for each hour. This makes the use of the three detectors combined data is appropriate for near-real-time detection.

Acknowledgements This work has been supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 952480 (DarkWave project), and from the International Research Agenda Programme AstroCeNT (MAB/2018/7) funded by the Foundation for Polish Science (FNP) from the European Regional Development Fund.



# Thank you!