Rapid characterization of SiPMs for noble liquid experiments



BINDIYA CHANA SUPERVISOR: DR. SIMON VIEL LIDINE 2022 WARSAW

Motivation

- Silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs) are emerging technology in photon detection in particle physics.
- Large future experiments like **nEXO**, **ARGO** etc. will use SiPM based light detection system



SiPM tile

Challenges

- Quality control and testing of these large number of SiPMs will be a challenge.
- A quick and reliable SiPM testing technique is required at all stages (from single SiPM to fully installed system).

Goals of this project

Current-voltage (IV) characterization of SiPM

Develop the IV fit model to understand the IV curves

Use fit model to extract the empirical parameters of SiPMs

Compare and verify the results from IV analysis with pulse analysis

Extend the study to different SiPM devices at a range of temperatures in different light conditions



*VERA – Vacuum Emission, Reflectance and Absorbance ** FBK - Fondazione Bruno Kessler



VERA setup at TRIUMF used to take measurements for SiPMs

VERA* setup at TRIUMF

SiPM devices

- FBK** VUV HD3
- Hamamatsu VUV4

Temperature range

• -110° C to +20° C

Light conditions

• Dark

• Light source – 175nm & 385nm

Thanks to Dr. Fabrice Retiere and Dr. Mahsa Mahtab (TRIUMF) for their support in this project

*VERA – Vacuum Emission, Reflectance and absorbance ** FBK - Fondazione Bruno Kessler



VERA setup at TRIUMF used to take measurements for SiPMs

SiPM IV curve





Correlated noise parameters

n+ n+ p p avalanche π

Cross-talk

- During avalanche, accelerated carriers in the high field region could emit photons
- That photon can initiate a secondary avalanche in a neighbouring microcell.

Afterpulsing



- Carriers get trapped in defects in the silicon.
- Released after a delay which initiate an avalanche in the same microcell.

Figures from Piemonte C and Gola A (2019)

Reverse bias fit model

Pre breakdown fit function :

$$I = q * C_{srh} * W_o * \left\{ \left(\left(1 - \frac{V}{V_{int}} \right)^p - 1 \right) + A \left(exp\left(\frac{V}{B} \right) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

 C_{srh} = Shockley-Read-Hall recombination factor W_o = Zero bias depletion layer width V_{int} , p = CV parameters

A, B = empirical parameters (Otte et al (2017))

– Empirical parameters

Reverse bias fit model (contd.)

Post breakdown fit function : involves the contribution of dark noise and correlated noise – afterpulsing and cross-talk

$$I_{post} = C * R_{DN} * V_{ov} * exp(a * V_{rel}) * \left(1 - exp\left(-\frac{V_{rel}}{\alpha}\right)\right) * \left\{\left(\frac{1}{1 - P_{CN}(V_{ov}^2)}\right)\right\} + I_o$$

 R_{DN} = Dark noise rate $V_{ov} = (V - V_{br})$ = Overvoltage, $V_{rel} = \frac{V_{ov}}{V_{br}}$

 $V_{br} = Breakdown voltage$; C = Capacitance; $I_o = leakage current$

P_{CN} = probability of correlated noise;

a = empirical parameter accounts for non-linear dependence of voltage on dark noise rate

$$\left(1 - exp\left(-\frac{V_{rel}}{\alpha}\right)\right) =$$
 triggering probability

IV fits for 2 devices



Breakdown Voltage



Pulse analysis

• SiPM pulse data was taken at two overvoltages (4 & 7V) at 0.5PE threshold.

• Primary pulse selection : It should be single PE (0.5 - 1.5PE) It should happen at least 500µs later than previous pulse.

Pulse fitting analysis needs improvement for afterpulses and the work is in progress



Dark noise rate



Correlated noise probability



Summary and future plans

- IV characterization and fit model looks promising over a range of temperatures for both FBK and Hamamatsu SiPM devices
- Improve the IV model for dark data
- Extend the fit functions with some additional terms for light data
 - Light data has already been taken and analysis for both IV and pulse data are ongoing
- At TRIUMF, Cryo probe facility will use IV characterization to test thousands of SiPMs for nEXO.